

1001 G Street, N.W. Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20001 tel. 202.434.4100 fax 202.434.4646



February 12, 2013

Karen Maples Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 290 Broadway, 16th Floor New York, NY 10007-1866

Re:

Landers-Segal Color Co.

Dear Ms. Maples:

Please find enclosed the original and a copy of the Respondent's Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Answer filed on behalf of Respondent, Landers-Segal Color Co., Inc.

Sincerely,

Eric P. Gotting

Enclosure

cc:

Carl R. Howard Helen Ferrara

4821-0299-1634, v. 1

UNITED STATES UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 2013 FEB 13 P 4: 34

BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

DENIG	
REGIONAL HEARING CLERK	
THE HEARING	١
CIFDY	ľ
CLLIN	

Docket No. TSCA-02-2012-9245	
֡	Docket No. TSCA-02-2012-9245

RESPONDENT'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER

Respondent, Landers-Segal Color Co., Inc. ("LANSCO"), by and through its undersigned counsel, pursuant to Rule 22.7(b) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice (40 C.F.R. § 22.7(b)), respectfully requests a seventh unopposed extension of time to file its Answer to the Complaint, and as good cause therefore states as follows:

- The Complaint in this matter was received by LANSCO on March 22, 2012, thus making the Answer due on or before April 23, 2012;
- 2. On April 11, 2012, LANSCO filed an unopposed motion for an extension of time to file the Answer so that the parties could engage in informal settlement discussions in an effort to resolve this case without incurring the time and expense of litigating this dispute;
- On April 18, 2012, the Regional Judicial Officer granted the unopposed motion, setting the due date for the Answer on or before June 5, 2012;
- On May 30, 2012, LANSCO filed a second unopposed motion for an extension of time to file the Answer as the parties were actively engaged in settlement

- negotiations and believed that the additional requested time would facilitate a resolution to this case;
- 5. On May 30, 2012, the Regional Judicial Officer granted the unopposed motion, setting the due date for the Δnswer on or before July 20, 2012;
- 6. On July 12, 2012, LANSCO filed a third unopposed motion for an extension of time to file the Answer as the parties had continued negotiations and reached a tentative settlement, but required additional time to finalize the agreement;
- 7. On July 24, the Regional Judicial Officer granted the unopposed motion, making it retroactive to July 20, 2012 and setting the due date for the Answer on or before September 3, 2012;
- 8. On August 27, 2012, LANSCO filed a fourth unopposed motion for an extension of time to file the Answer as Complainant indicated that additional time was required to secure management approval;
- 9. On September 4, 2012, the Regional Judicial Officer granted the unopposed motion, making it retroactive to September 3, 2012 and setting a due date for the Answer on or before November 1, 2012;
- 10. On October 25, 2012, LANSCO filed a fifth unopposed motion for an extension of time to file the Answer as Complainant indicated that additional time was required to secure management approval;
- 11. On November 14, 2012, the Regional Judicial Officer granted the unopposed motion, making it retroactive to November 1, 2012 and setting a due date for the Answer on or before December 31, 2012;

12. On December 20, 2012, LANSCO filed a sixth unopposed motion for an extension of time to file the Answer as Complainant indicated that additional time was required to secure management approval;

 On December 21, 2012, the Regional Judicial Officer granted the unopposed motion, setting a due date for the Answer on or before February 15, 2013;

14. On February 12, 2013, prior to filing this Motion, counsel for LANSCO and the Complainant discussed the Answer due date, at which time Complainant indicated that additional time would be required for management approval of the proposed settlement and agreed that an extension would be required. As such, Complainant does not oppose this request for an extension of time; and

 Based on the foregoing, LANSCO requests an extension of time to file the Answer on or before April 1, 2013.

Accordingly, no prejudice to either party will result from granting this Motion. This Motion is timely filed, as it is sufficiently in advance of the Answer due date.

February 12, 2013

Douglas J. Behr Eric P. Gotting

Keller and Heckman LLP

1001 G St., NW, Suite 500 West

Washington, DC 20001

Phone: (202) 434-4100 Fax: (202) 434-4646

behr@khlaw.com gotting@khlaw.com

Counsel for Respondent LANSCO

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Respondent's Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Answer, dated February 12, 2013, was sent this day via Federal Express, overnight delivery, to the addresses listed below:

Original and one copy to: Karen Maples

Regional Hearing Clerk

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2

290 Broadway, 16th Floor New York, NY 10007-1866

Copy to: Helen Ferrara

Regional Judicial Clerk

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2

290 Broadway, 16th Floor New York, NY 10007

A copy was sent via regular mail and email to the following address:

Carl R. Howard

Assistant Regional Counsel Office of Regional Counsel

Waste and Toxic Substances Branch

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2

290 Broadway, 16th Floor New York, NY 10007-1366

An email copy was sent to Helen Ferrara, Regional Judicial Clerk.

February 12, 2013

Eric P. Gotting

Keller and Heckman LLP

1001 G St., NW, Suite 500 West

Washington, DC 20001 Phone: (202) 434-4100

Fax: (202) 434-4646 gotting@khlaw.com

4841-3244-4946, v. 1